# Critical Points in Nonlinear $L_{1}$ Approximation 

Jerry M. Wolfe<br>Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403, U.S.A.<br>Communicated by E. W. Cheney

Received November 5, 1981

Let $H$ be a finite-dimensional Haar subspace of $C[a, b]$ and let $f \in C[a, b]$ be arbitrary. Then the well-known result of Jackson [1] asserts that $f$ has a unique closest point in $H$ in the $L_{1}$ sense (using Lebesgue measure, say). In moving from linear to nonlinear $L_{1}$ approximation it is natural to consider the uniqueness question for nonlinear families whose local approximating tangent spaces are finite-dimensional Haar spaces. In studying the uniqueness question the critical points of the error functional are of central importance since the best approximations are among them.

In this paper we shall present examples which show that even with a single nonlinear parameter it is possible (at least for a certain class of such families including the exponential and rational families) to produce a continuous function such that the corresponding error functional has a continuum of critical points. A simple modification of the construction yields a continuous function with (at least) a countably infinite set of local minima. Thus the situation is quite different from that of the uniform case [see [2], for example] or the case of $L_{2}[3,4]$.

## General Setting

A map $A: S \subset E^{N} \rightarrow C[a, b]$ ( $S$ open) is given with properties:
(a) $A(x)$ is continuously differentiable on $[a, b]$ for each $x \in S$ and the function $x \rightarrow d A(x)(\cdot) / d t$ is continuous from $S$ to $C[a, b]$ using the uniform norm on $C[a, b]$.
(b) $\partial^{2} A(x) / \partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}$ exists and is continuous on $S$ using the uniform norm on $C[a, b]$ for each $1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant N$.
(c) For each $x \in S,\left\{\partial A(x) / \partial x_{1}, \ldots, \partial A(x) / \partial x_{N}\right\}$ spans a Haar subspace of $C[a, b]$ of dimension $d(x) \leqslant N$.
(d) $A(x)-A(y)$ has at most $N-1$ roots in $[a, b]$ if $A(x) \neq A(y)$.

Two important examples of nonlinear families satisfying (a)-(d) are the (ordinary) rational and exponential families (see [7]). See also the examples later in this paper.

The approximation problem is then:
Problem. Given $f \in C[a, b]$ find $x^{*} \in S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x) \equiv \int_{a}^{b}|A(x)(t)-f(t)| d t \text { is minimized when } x=x^{*} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result is well known and we shall not provide a proof.

Lemma 1. For each $x \in S$ and $h \in E^{N}$ let $A^{\prime}(x, h)$ denote the directional derivative $\sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j} \partial A(x) / \partial x_{j}$ where $h=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{N}\right)$. Then a necessary condition that $x \in S$ be a local minimum of $F(x)$ given by (1) above is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}(A(x)(t)-f(t)) A^{\prime}(x, h)(t) d t\right| \leqslant \int_{z}\left|A^{\prime}(x, h)(t)\right| d t \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $h \in E^{N}$ where $Z \equiv\{t \mid A(x)(t)-f(t)=0\}$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(r)=r /|r|$ if $r \neq 0$ and 0 otherwise.

In particular, if $\mu(Z)=0$ where $\mu$ denotes Lebesgue measure, then the Frechet derivative $F^{\prime}(x, \cdot)$ exists and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(x, h)=\int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}(A(x)(t)-f(t)) A^{\prime}(x, h)(t) d t=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in E^{N}$ if $x \in S$ is a local minimum of $F$.
The following result may be found in [5].

Lemma 2. Let $x \in S$ and $f \in C[a, b]$ be such that $f$ is differentiable on some open set containing the zeros of $A(x)-f$ and that there are exactly $M$ such zeros all simple. Then the second Frechet derivative $F^{\prime \prime}(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ exists and in particular

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{\prime \prime}(x, h, k)= & 2 \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{A^{\prime}(x, h)\left(t_{j}\right) A^{\prime}(x, k)\left(t_{j}\right)}{\left|d E(x)\left(t_{j}\right) / d t\right|} \\
& +\int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}(E(x)(t)) \cdot A^{\prime \prime}(x, h, k)(t) d t \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $h, k \in E^{N}$ where $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{M}\right\}$ are the roots of $A(x)-f$ and $E(x) \equiv$ $A(x)-f$.

Remark 1. In [5] the factor 2 appearing in (4) above inexplicably disappeared in the middle of a calculation and was left out of the statement of the crucial results. Also we now have that if $x \in S$ satisfies (3) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime \prime}(x, h, h)>0 \quad \text { for all } h \in E^{N}, h \neq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $x$ is a local minimum of $F$.

## Canonical Points

Let $H$ be a Haar subspace of $C[a, b]$ of dimension $N$, say $H=$ $\operatorname{span}\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{N}\right\}$. Then it is known [6] that there exist unique points $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{N}\right\}$ with $a=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N}<t_{N+1}=b$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{N}(-1)^{j} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} h_{i}(t) d t=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are called the canonical points for $H$.
Lemma 3. Let $H$ be as above and suppose $f \in C[a, b]$ and $h \in H$ are such that $f-h$ changes sign exactly at the canonical points of $H$. Then $\int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}(f(t)-h(t)) h_{i}(t) d t=0, i=1, \ldots, N$, and hence $h$ is the unique closest point to from $H$.

Proof. Since $f-h$ changes sign exactly at the canonical points of $H$ we have that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}(f(t)-h(t)) h_{i}(t) d t=(-1)^{\varepsilon} \sum_{j=0}^{N}(-1)^{j} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} h_{i}(t) d t=0,
$$

$i=1, \ldots, N$, where $\varepsilon=0$ or $\varepsilon=1$.
In order to construct the examples of this paper we need to first investigate the behavior of the canonical points for the local approximating tangent spaces of the nonlinear family as $x$ varies. The following definition is crucial for this.

Definition. For each $x \in S$ let $T(x)=\operatorname{span}\left\{\partial A(x) / \partial x_{1}, \ldots, \partial A(x) / \partial x_{N}\right\}$ and $d(x)=\operatorname{dim}(T(x))$. Then the point $x$ is called normal if $d(x)=N$.

The importance of the idea of normality lies partly in the fact that for the standard nonlinear families such as the rationals and exponentials any minimum point $x$ satisfying (3) must be normal if $f \neq A(x)$ [see Theorem 8 of [7] noting that it holds for $p=1$ if (3) holds].

To study the behavior of the canonical points we define a function
$\Phi(x, \mathbf{t})=\left(\Phi_{1}(x, \mathbf{t}), \ldots, \Phi_{N}(x, t)\right)$ on $S \times \mathscr{U} \rightarrow R^{N}$ where $\mathscr{U}=\left\{\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{N}\right) \mid a=\right.$ $\left.t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N}<t_{N+1}=b\right\}$ and where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}(x, \mathrm{t})=\sum_{j=0}^{N}(-1)^{j} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{i}}(x)(t) d t, \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then assuming $x$ is normal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}(x, \mathbf{t}(x))=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, N \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{t}(x)=\left(t_{1}(x), \ldots, t_{N}(x)\right)$ and $\left\{t_{1}(x), \ldots, t_{N}(x)\right\}$ are the canonical points for $T(x)$. A simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \Phi_{i}}{\partial t_{k}}(x, \mathbf{t})=(-1)^{k-1} 2 \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{i}}(x)\left(t_{k}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, N ; k=1, \ldots, N .  \tag{9}\\
\frac{\partial \Phi_{i}}{\partial x_{k}}(x, \mathbf{t})=\sum_{j=0}^{N}(-1)^{j} \int_{t_{j}}^{t_{j+1}} \frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{k}}(x)(t) d t, \quad i=1, \ldots, N ; k=1, \ldots, N . \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\partial \Phi / \partial t$ and $\partial \Phi / \partial x$ denote the Jacobian matrices $\left(\partial \Phi_{i} / \partial t_{k}\right)_{1 \leqslant i, k \leqslant N}$ and $\left(\partial \Phi_{i} / \partial x_{k}\right)_{1 \leqslant i, k \leqslant N}$, respectively. Then using elementary properties of determinants we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}\right)=2^{N}(-1)^{N(N-1) / 2} \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{i}}(x)\left(t_{k}\right)\right)_{1 \leqslant i, k \leqslant N} \neq 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $x$ is normal since $T(x)$ is then a Haar space of dimension $N$ and so $\left(\partial A(x)\left(t_{k}\right) / \partial x_{i}\right)_{1<i, k \leqslant N}$ is nonsingular by the unique interpolation property of a Haar space. Thus, we have the following result.

Lemma 4. Let $x \in S$ be normal and let $\Phi$ be as above. Then the canonical point map $x \rightarrow t(x)$ is differentiable on some open neighborhood of $x$ and in fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathbf{t}}{\partial x}(x)=-\left[\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{t}}(x, \mathbf{t}(x))\right]^{-1} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x, \mathbf{t}(x)) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Equations (7)-(11) imply that the implicit function theorem applies and by the uniqueness of canonical points the resulting map must in fact be the canonical point map $x \rightarrow \mathbf{t}(x)$. The implicit function theorem then yields (12).

Equation (12) shows that the canonical points change smoothly as $x$ varies over a sufficiently small neighborhood of any normal point. We will now specialize to the case where the approximating family contains one
linear and one nonlinear parameter although the construction would generalize easily to a larger number of linear parameters.

Assume now that $A(x)(t)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x)(t)=x_{1} \gamma\left(x_{2}\right)(t) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for each $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in S=E \times U(U$ open in $E) \gamma\left(x_{2}\right)$ is either a nonzero constant function or a strictly monotone and nonzero function on $[a, b]$. In addition to properties (a)-(d) we shall assume
(e) If $x_{v} \rightarrow x_{0},\left\{x_{v}\right\} \subset S, x_{0} \in S$, then the first derivative of $A\left(x_{v}\right)$ (with respect to $t$ ) converges uniformly to the first derivative of $A\left(x_{0}\right)$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$.
(f) For each $x_{2},\left\{\gamma\left(x_{2}\right), \partial \gamma\left(x_{2}\right) / \partial x_{2}, \partial^{2} \gamma\left(x_{2}\right) / \partial x_{2}^{2}\right\}$ spans a Haar space of dimension 3.

Examples. Let $\gamma\left(x_{2}\right)(t)=e^{x_{2} t}$ (where $U=E$ ) on an arbitrary interval $[a, b]$ or let $\gamma\left(x_{2}\right)(t)=1 /\left(1+x_{2} t\right), t \in[-1,1]$, where $U=(-1,1)$. Then assumptions (a)-(f) are easily seen to be satisfied.

The following formulas are clear

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{1}}(x)=\gamma\left(x_{2}\right) \\
\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_{2}}(x)=x_{1} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right),  \tag{14}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}(x)=0 ; \quad \frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}}(x)=\frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}}(x)=\frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) ; \quad \frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}=x_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} \gamma}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

For each normal $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ the corresponding canonical points $t_{1}(x)$ and $t_{2}(x)$ depend only on $x_{2}$. That is, if $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}\right)$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ with $x_{1}$ and $x_{1}^{\prime}$ both nonzero, then $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ have the same canonical points since $\operatorname{span}\left\{\gamma\left(x_{2}\right), x_{1}^{\prime} \partial \gamma\left(x_{2}\right) / \partial x_{2}\right\}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\gamma\left(x_{2}\right), x_{1} \partial \gamma\left(x_{2}\right) / \partial x_{2}\right\}$. Thus we shall denote the canonical points by $t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ where $a<t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)<t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)<b$. Applying formulas (9), (10), and (14) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathrm{t}}(x, \mathbf{t}(x))=2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) & -\gamma\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \\
x_{1} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) & -x_{1} \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right),  \tag{15}\\
& \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x, \mathrm{t}(x))=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & x_{1} \int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon(t)) \frac{\partial^{2} \gamma}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)(t) d t
\end{array}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon(t)=1$ on $\left[a, t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right),-1$ on $\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right), t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$, and 1 on $\left[t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right), b\right]$. Then applying (12) we arrive at

$$
\frac{\partial \mathbf{t}}{\partial x}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \gamma\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\Delta}  \tag{16}\\
0 & \gamma\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\Delta}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where

$$
\sigma=\int_{a}^{b} \operatorname{sgn}(\varepsilon(t)) \frac{\partial^{2} \gamma}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)(t) d t
$$

and

$$
\Delta=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) & \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \\
\gamma\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) & \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial x_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right) \neq 0
$$

Since $\left\{\gamma\left(x_{2}\right), \partial \gamma\left(x_{2}\right) / \partial x_{2}, \partial^{2} \gamma\left(x_{2}\right) / \gamma x_{2}^{2}\right\}$ spans a Haar subspace $H$ of $C[a, b]$ of dimension 3 and since $\varepsilon(t)$ has exactly two sign changes in $[a, b]$ and is nonzero almost everywhere, $\sigma$ is not zero. (That is there is an element $h \in H$ such that $\operatorname{sgn} h=\operatorname{sgn} \varepsilon$ almost everywhere). Thus $\partial t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) / \partial x_{2}$ and $\partial t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) / \partial x_{2}$ are both nonzero and have the same sign. We are now ready for the main result of this paper.

ThEOREM. There exists an $f \in C[a, b]$ whose error functional $F(x)=$ $\int_{a}^{b}|A(x)(t)-f(t)| d t$ has a continuum of critical points for the nonlinear family $\{A(x) \mid x \in S\}$ given by (13).

Proof. Let $\bar{x}_{2} \in U$ be such that $\gamma\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)$ is strictly monotone on $[a, b]$ and let $t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)$ and $t_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)$ be the corresponding canonical points and define $t_{*}$ by $t_{*}=\left(t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)+t_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)\right) / 2$. Without loss of generality assume that $\partial t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right) / \partial x_{2}$ and $\partial t_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right) / \partial x_{2}$ are positive. Then by continuity there is an interval $\left[\bar{x}_{2}, \bar{x}_{2}+\delta\right] \equiv I$ so that the images $t_{1}(I)$ and $t_{2}(I)$ are of form

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{1}(I)=\left[t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right), t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{1}\right] \equiv I_{1},  \tag{17}\\
& t_{2}(I)=\left[t_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right), t_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{2}\right] \equiv I_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $t_{*} \notin I_{1} \cup I_{2}, \gamma\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)$ is strictly monotone on $[a, b]$ and $\partial t_{1} / \partial x_{2}$ and $\partial t_{2} / \partial x_{2}$ are both positive for each $x_{2} \in I$. More precisely, the continuity of the maps $x_{2} \rightarrow t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $x_{2} \rightarrow t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$ on $I \rightarrow(a, b)$, the continuity of the maps
$x_{2} \rightarrow \gamma\left(x_{2}\right), x_{2} \rightarrow \partial t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) / \partial x_{2}$, and $x_{2} \rightarrow \partial t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right) / \partial x_{2}$ from $U \rightarrow C[a, b]$ (uniform norm), and property (e) are being invoked to obtain the above conclusion.

From the above we conclude that there are inverse maps $x_{2}^{1}: t \rightarrow x_{2}^{1}(t)$ and $x_{2}^{2}(t): t \rightarrow x_{2}^{2}(t)$ defined on $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ with range $I$ for the maps $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$. Now for each $x_{2} \in I$, define $x_{1}$ by $x_{1}=1 / \gamma\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{*}\right)$ and let $A(x)(t)=x_{1} \gamma\left(x_{2}\right)(t)$ where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. Then $A(x)\left(t_{*}\right)=1$ for each $x_{2} \in I$ and by assumption (d), if $x_{2} \neq x_{2}^{\prime}$, then $A(x)-A\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ has $t_{*}$ as its only zero when $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $A(x)$ only depends on $x_{2}$ we shall let $\tau\left(x_{2}\right)$ denote $A(x)$ for $x=\left(1 / \gamma\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{*}\right), x_{2}\right)$.

Then by strict monotonicity of $\tau\left(x_{2}\right)$ on $[a, b]$ for each $x_{2} \in I$ and the fact that $\tau\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{*}\right)=1$ for all $x_{2} \in I$ we have that one of the following two situations must occur.
(i) $a \leqslant t<t_{*} \quad$ and $\quad \bar{x}_{2} \leqslant x_{2}<\tilde{x}_{2} \leqslant \bar{x}_{2}+\delta \Rightarrow \tau\left(x_{2}\right)(t)>\tau\left(\tilde{x}_{2}\right)(t)$, $t_{*} \leqslant t \leqslant b$ and $\bar{x}_{2} \leqslant x_{2}<\tilde{x}_{2} \leqslant \bar{x}_{2}+\delta \Rightarrow \tau\left(x_{2}\right)(t)<\tau\left(\tilde{x}_{2}\right)(t) ;$
(ii) $a \leqslant t<t_{*} \quad$ and $\quad \bar{x}_{2} \leqslant x_{2}<\tilde{x}_{2} \leqslant \bar{x}_{2}+\delta \Rightarrow \tau\left(x_{2}\right)(t)<\tau\left(\tilde{x}_{2}\right)(t)$, $t_{*}<t \leqslant b$ and $\bar{x}_{2} \leqslant x_{2}<\tilde{x}_{2} \leqslant \bar{x}_{2}+\delta \Rightarrow \tau\left(x_{2}\right)(t)>\tau\left(\tilde{x}_{2}\right)(t)$.

Without loss of generality assume (i) holds, the construction being completely analogous for case (ii). We are now ready to define a continuous function $f$ that has each $\tau\left(x_{2}\right)$ as a critical point, $x_{2} \in I$. To do this consider the following five intervals. $J_{1}=\left[a, t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)\right) ; J_{2}=\left[t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right), t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{1}\right] ; J_{3}=$ $\left(t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{1}, t_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)\right) ; \quad J_{4}=\left[t_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right), t_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{2}\right] ; \quad J_{5}=\left(t_{2}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{2}, b\right]$. Now define $f$ to be continuous on $[a, b]$ with the following properties. On $J_{2}$ let $f(t)=\tau\left(x_{2}^{1}(t)\right)(t)$ and on $J_{4}$ let $f(t)=\tau\left(x_{2}^{2}(t)\right)(t)$ while on the remaining three intervals the inequalities $f(t)>\tau\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)(t) \quad t \in J_{1} ; f(t)<\min \left\{\tau\left(\bar{x}_{2}+\delta\right)(t)\right.$, $\left.\tau\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)(t)\right\} t \in J_{3}$; and $f(t)>\tau\left(\bar{x}_{2}+\delta\right)(t), t \in J_{5}$ should hold.

The functions $\tau\left(x_{2}^{1}(t)\right)(\cdot)$ and $\tau\left(x_{2}^{2}(t)\right)(\cdot)$ are continuous on $J_{2}$ and $J_{4}$, respectively, being the composition of continuous functions. That a continuous $f$ exists with the required properties on $J_{1} \cup J_{3} \cup J_{5}$ is then clear by considering (i).

Claim. For each $x_{2} \in I, f-\tau\left(x_{2}\right)$ changes sign exactly at $t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$.

Proof. We first check that $f-\tau\left(x_{2}\right)$ changes sign at $t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ and $t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$. First $\quad f\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)-\tau\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=\tau\left(x_{2}^{1}\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)-\tau\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=\tau\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)-\right.$ $\tau\left(x_{2}\right)\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)=0$ since by definition $x_{2}^{1}$ and $t_{1}$ are inverse functions of each other. Similarly $f-\tau\left(x_{2}\right)$ vanishes at $t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$. Assume that $t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)>t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)$. Then if $t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)<t<t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right), f(t)=\tau\left(x_{2}^{1}(t)\right)(t)>\tau\left(x_{2}\right)(t)=\tau\left(x_{2}^{1}\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)(t)\right.$ since $x_{2}^{1}(t)<x_{2}=x_{2}^{1}\left(t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$ if $t<t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$. The same reasoning shows that $f(t)<$ $\tau\left(x_{2}\right)(t)$ if $t>t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$. Thus $f-\tau\left(x_{2}\right)$ changes sign at $x_{2}$ (the case $t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)=t_{1}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)$ is similar) and only at $t_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ on $J_{2}$. A completely analogous argument shows that $f-\tau\left(x_{2}\right)$ changes sign on $J_{4}$ exactly at $t_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)$.

Finally it remains to show that these are the only sign changes that occur for $f-\tau\left(x_{2}\right)$ on $[a, b]$. But by definition, $f-\tau\left(x_{2}\right)$ is positive on $J_{1}$, negative on $J_{3}$, and positive on $J_{5}$ and hence can have no other sign changes. Since $f-\tau\left(x_{2}\right)$ changes sign exactly at the canonical points for $x_{2}, x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is a critical point of $F(x)$. Since $x_{2}$ was arbitrary in $I, F(x)$ has a continuum of critical points.

Remark 2. In the construction above, the function $f$ is continuously differentiable on $J_{2} \cup J_{4}$ and can be constructed to be in $C^{1}[a, b]$. Also, if in the construction we use a sequence of points $\mathbf{t}_{v}=\left(t_{1 v}, t_{2 v}\right)$ where $t_{i v} \downarrow t_{i} \equiv$ $t_{i}\left(\bar{x}_{2}\right)$ with $t_{i v+1}<t_{i v}, v=1,2, \ldots, i=1,2$ and where $t_{v}$ represents the canonical points for, say, $x_{2 v}$, then referring to Remark 1 we can define $f$ so that $f \in C^{1}[a, b]$ and $f$ has each $x_{v}=\left(x_{1 v}, x_{2 v}\right)$ as an isolated local minimum. It is an open question whether or not the $x_{v}$ 's could be made to be global minima.
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